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1. **Brief Historical Background**

The Republic of Azerbaijan was declared independent in 1991. Before independence, Azerbaijan was one of the fifteen Soviet Socialist Republics of the former USSR. By early January 1990, nationalist demonstrations and protests threatened to overthrow Soviet power in Azerbaijan. On 19 January 1990, in response to the demonstrations, Soviet troops entered Baku, the capital of Azerbaijan, and at least 120 protesters were killed by the Soviet troops. The failure of the August 1991 coup d'état in Moscow led to renewed calls for independence. In December 1991, the Parliament of Azerbaijan declared independence and the country became a member of the United Nations in March 1992.

The results of a census in October 1999 indicated the population of Azerbaijan to be 8 million. In 1989, Azeris constituted 82.7% of the population of the Republic. The rest of the population, at the time, was made up of three significant minority groups: equal numbers of Armenians and Russians (each about 5.6%) and Dagestani (3.4%, mostly Shiite Lezghins). The International Organisation for Migration (IOM) in 1996 estimated the emigration of the Russian minority from Azerbaijan to the Russian Federation at 165,000 between 1990 and 1996. Following the pogroms of Armenians in Sumgait and Baku in 1990 and the ethnic conflict over Karabakh, the Armenian population of Azerbaijan virtually ceased to exist, with the exception of the Armenian community of Azerbaijan still living in the separatist Nagorno-Karabakh region. Reportedly, a small number of elder Armenians and mixed couple are also remaining in Azerbaijan. The country also hosts a small minority of Tats or Mountain Jews (10,000), an Iranian people who converted to Judaism.

According to the 1989 census, Nagorno-Karabakh's population of 185,000 was approximately 75% ethnic Armenian and 25% Azeri. Both Armenia and Azerbaijan argue over the history of Nagorno-Karabakh, which was an autonomous oblast in Azerbaijan by Stalin's decision, in 1923. Armenians living in Karabakh allege that they suffered discrimination and had little decision-making power. In 1988, demonstrations in both Yerevan and Stepanakert called for the union of Armenia and Nagorno-Karabakh. Violence quickly broke out, degenerating into a full-scale conflict by early 1992.

By mid-1992, Karabakh Armenian troops had forced out all of Nagorno-Karabakh's Azeri population. The Armenian army sided with Karabakh Armenian troops and made territorial advances deep into Azerbaijan, occupying a fifth of Azeri territory by October 1993, and leading to internal unrest that contributed to two presidential changes. In 1998, UNHCR
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reported that over a million people were forced to flee from Nagorno-Karabakh to Azerbaijan itself (684,000), from Armenia to Azerbaijan (185,000) and Azerbaijan to Armenia (299,000). Since the May 1994 cease-fire, Armenian forces occupy some 20 per cent of Azerbaijan’s territory and although some incidents have been reported, there has been no sign of renewed hostilities. Nevertheless, no political solution has yet been found.

The refugee population in Azerbaijan, at the end of 1998, included 180,000 Azeris who fled from Armenia, mostly in 1988. There were also some 45,000 Meskhetian Turks who fled from Uzbekistan in 1989. Internally displaced Azerbaijanis numbered at least 620,000. In all, an estimated 10 to 15 per cent of Azerbaijan’s total population of 7.6 million are estimated to be refugees or displaced persons.

2. Developments since 1996

The period covering 1995 to 1999 has been relatively stable. In 1993, Heydar Aliyev was elected president of Azerbaijan. Since then, he has been consolidating his power and has established “unprecedented political stability.” This political continuity seems to have brought back order to the country, which was on the verge of disintegration as a result of its defeat in the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict and the collapse of the Communist system.

A new constitution was adopted in November 1995 by public referendum. Under the constitution, Azerbaijan became a presidential republic with a one-chamber parliament. The Milli Majlis (National Council) was also elected at the same time. Members of the ruling party, Mr. Aliyev’s New Azerbaijan Party (NAP, founded in 1992), and their allies won a clear majority of seats. Foreign observers of the OSCE/UN joint observation team had “serious doubts as to the fairness of the election” and stated that these elections “had in many respects not corresponded to international norms.” The report cited the exclusion of several parties from participation and interference from local authorities or police in polling stations. Of Azerbaijan’s 31 officially registered parties, only eight were authorised to participate. Among these, only two were opposition parties: the Popular Front of Azerbaijan (PFA), recently re-legalised, and the National Independence Party (NIP). The next legislative elections are to take place in November 2000.

Local elections initially planned to be held in 1997, as one of the conditions for admission to the Council of Europe, but have been delayed. The municipal elections are to take place on
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12 of December 1999. It is still unclear if the opposition is going to boycott the election because of possible violations of the election law.25

According to the United Nations Human Development Report about 60 political parties were active in Azerbaijan in 1998. Out of this number, only 32 parties were registered at the Ministry of Justice of Azerbaijan. The largest and leading political party is the Yeni Azerbaijan (New Azerbaijan), which counts some 120,000 members in its ranks. It is the strongest party represented in the Parliament of Azerbaijan is the Yeni Azerbaijan, with an overwhelming majority of 60 deputies.

The main opposition force is the Democratic Congress, which unites eight opposition parties, two of which play leading roles: Musavat and Azerbaijan’s People’s Front Party (APFP). Opposition was represented in the Parliament of Azerbaijan by the APFP (four deputies) and the Azerbaijan National Independence Party (three deputies). Other opposition parties, Musavat, the Civil Concord, the Party of Social Justice and the Democratic Party of Owners are represented in the parliament with one deputy each.

Authorities frequently prevent political parties critical of the Government from conducting indoor congresses and meetings as well outdoor gatherings.26 However, the Government eased these restrictions beginning in May 1998 as preparation began for the October presidential elections. The Government permitted opposition parties and presidential candidates to organise some rallies but cited questionable security reasons to divert the rallies from the sites of their choice.27

In 1998, Mr. Aliyev was re-elected president with 76.1 % of the votes.28 Most opposition leaders boycotted the election, accusing the ruling party of manipulating the ballot.29 Ex-president Abulfaz Elchibey and presidential candidate Etibar Mammedov agreed to link their parties (the PFA and the NPI) to protest against the result of the election.30 The National Democratic Institute for International Affairs (NDI), member of an international election observer delegation, stated many improvements had been made in the election process, but that “the quality of the process fell short of the standards set forth in Azerbaijan’s presidential election law and international standards for elections”.31

Since the 75-year-old Mr. Aliyev underwent heart surgery in May 1999, in September 1999 questions about the country’s future have been raised.32 The EIU stated that “the strength of Mr. Aliyev’s grip on every aspect of policy is such that no-one can replace him even temporarily”.33
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The question of the succession of the President has been raised repeatedly. Several personalities including Mr. Aliyev’s son, Ilham, have been put forward by observers as possible successors to the head of State and head of the ruling party. In spite of these rumours, on the 7 September 1999 BBC News reported that the President announced he was considering to stand for a third term and therefore would be a candidate for the 2003 presidential elections.

After a period of decline in the years 1988-1994, Azerbaijan’s economy has been growing again. According to the World Bank, due to the more stable political situation and the cease fire in the conflict with Armenia, the government was able to implement an economic programme supported by the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund. The programme had three principal components: economic liberalisation through removal of state control over prices and production, macroeconomic stabilisation and privatisation. The Parliament of Azerbaijan adopted about 30 laws on ownership, entrepreneurial activities and private enterprises, 13 tax laws, two banking laws and two agricultural reforms. One of the aims of this programme was to rebuild the infrastructure in order to encourage private foreign investment and also to protect the oil-based economy from the effects of oil price fluctuation. The improvements felt in the last five years are mainly the consequence of foreign investment in the oil sector.

The 1997 Country Report of the U.S. Department of State noted that “the overall economic situation of the average citizen remains precarious, although in urban areas a growing moneyed class with trade and oil-related interests has emerged”. In spite of a nearly completed land privatization, the absence of investment in the agricultural sector, largely due to inadequate banking regulations, and essentially because of the collapse of the industry, unemployment remain high in 1999 and consequently hinder significant integration of IDPs and refugees in the mainstream of the national community.

2.1 The Nagorno-Karabakh Conflict and Peace Initiatives

On 24 March 1992, the Organisation for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) became involved in the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict settlement. The “Minsk Group” was created to find a political solution to the dispute. On 12 May 1994, the parties to the conflict agreed to observe an informal cease-fire, which has held since then, apart from some minor incidents. According to this news agency, 1’000 people were reportedly killed or injured on both sides.
In early 1996, a preliminary accord was reached, but was finally blocked by Karabakh Armenians. The accord provided for the withdrawal of Karabakh Armenians from occupied territories, except the Lachin corridor linking Armenia to Karabakh, allowing internally displaced persons (IDPs) and refugees to return under international monitoring. OSCE monitors were to be stationed in preparation for a three-thousand-strong peacekeeping force made up from different European countries and the United States. Talks on the final status of Karabakh were then to be held. The accord was however rejected by Karabakh Armenians, who would only agree to a withdrawal in exchange for definition of their status.44

In December 1996, at the Lisbon Summit of the OSCE, a statement issued by the Chairman of the Minsk Group, emphasised three principles for the settlement: the territorial integrity of Armenia and Azerbaijan, the definition of the legal status of Nagorno-Karabakh and guaranteed security for Nagorno-Karabakh and its population.45 Armenia vetoed reference to the Nagorno-Karabakh and only accepted that the Chairman in Office annex a declaration referring to the outgoing negotiation, to the Summit declaration.

In 1997, the OSCE Minsk Group arranged to split the approach into two stages. The first one would deal with the retreat of Armenian military forces from Azeri occupied territory, the lifting of sanctions on Armenia and the return of refugees. The second part of the peace process would deal with the final status of Karabakh.46 In 1998, the authorities of Azerbaijan rejected a proposal, made by the Minsk Group for the creation of a “common state” between Karabakh and Azerbaijan47.

In February 1998, the Armenian President Mr. Ter-Petrossian resigned, following criticism of his acceptance of the staged peace process proposed in 1997 by the Minsk Group. The President had publicly admitted that Nagorno-Karabakh could neither expect to gain complete independence, or be reattached to Armenia.48 His successor Mr. Robert Kocharian, the former Armenian Prime Minister since March 1997, was also President of Nagorno-Karabakh from November 1995 to March 1997.49

In early 1999, the U.S. Secretary of State, Madeleine Albright made further suggestions on the draft peace plan. In addition to proposals similar to those of the 1996 Lisbon summit50, she emphasised the return of displaced people to their homes.51
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In June 1999, serious clashes between Armenian and Azerbaijan forces along the Nagorno-Karabakh border raised the spectre of renewed war.\textsuperscript{52} The EIU reported in September 1999 that this has been the “biggest clash since the cease-fire was signed in 1994”, adding “accounts of events differed, but it is clear that hundreds of troops took part, unlike in previous clashes, in which individual units have fired at each other along the frontline. It was unclear whether the incident was another such confrontation which got out of hand, or whether it forebodes a new phase of escalating violence.”\textsuperscript{53} In spite of these incidents the cease-fire was upheld.

In July and August 1999, agreements of principles were made on strengthening the cease-fire regime on the Nagorno-Karabakh border and on continuing the peace talks\textsuperscript{54}, as the presidents of Armenia and Azerbaijan, Mr. Kocharian and Mr. Aliyev, met several times in Geneva to discuss a dispute settlement. Mr. Aliyev on his return home stated that “Azerbaijan and Armenia are determined to find a political solution.”\textsuperscript{55}

At the same time, a call was also made, by both presidents, for the resumption of negotiation within the framework of the Minsk Group.\textsuperscript{56} These direct talks between Armenia and Azerbaijan focused on specific areas, in order to “preserve and build an atmosphere of incipient trust, rather than to conceal the magnitude of the differences between the two sides.”\textsuperscript{57} No consensus has however been reached so far.

### 2.2 Refugees and Internal Displaced Persons (IDPs)

The political settlement of the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict is essential for the return of the refugees and IDPs who fled the region since 1988. UNHCR’s 1999 Global Appeal relates that “over the past four years, some 60'000 displaced persons were able to return to their former homes in war damaged regions along the Nagorno-Karabakh front line.”\textsuperscript{58} But, given the slowness of the peace process, it is very unlikely to foresee an early return of displaced persons to occupied areas of Azerbaijan.\textsuperscript{59}

A report of the Representative of the U.N. Secretary General on Internally Displaced Persons, Mr. Francis Deng discussing the situation of IDPs in the territory of Azerbaijan occupied by Armenians from Karabakh, highlights the difficulty of giving humanitarian assistance to these internally displaced, because the U.N. and other international humanitarian organisations have no access close to the Azerbaijani frontline.\textsuperscript{60}
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On the general situation of IDPs, and the search for durable solutions, this account describes that:

“It became clear over the course of the mission that return is the common and overriding goal of the authorities and the displaced alike. Indeed, return would appear the preferred solution for the majority of the displaced. However, it is difficult to predict when peace will materialise and create the possibility for large-scale return to occur. Under these circumstances, tensions arise between, on one hand, hoping for a peaceful resolution to the conflict and return and, on the other, adequately addressing the pressing needs of the displaced for a decent and dignified life. The challenge for the Government and the international community is to reconcile these two objectives.”

The Norwegian Refugee Council in 1998 described the living conditions of the refugees and IDPs as being precarious. It states that “the majority still live in misery in camps and collective centres, in abandoned railroad cars and demolished houses. The health care-, education- and job options are considerably inferior to that of the rest of the population, which is reflected in a higher rate of illness, a lower level of education and severe social problems.” The Human Rights Centre in Azerbaijan asserts that as a result of the incapacity of the authorities to meet the refugees and displaced persons’ needs, such as work, food, health or education, these refugees and displaced persons have to depend on their own resources and on foreign humanitarian aid.


3.1 International Legal Framework

Azerbaijan has acceded to a number of international instruments.

The status of accession by Azerbaijan as a state party to the international conventions is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convention</th>
<th>Date of Accession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966)</td>
<td>13 August 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention relating to the Status of Refugees (1951)</td>
<td>12 February 1993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Azerbaijan is not a state party to, *inter alia*:

- the 1966 Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
- the 1952 Convention on the Political Rights of Women
- the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms

The requirements of the Council of Europe’s Statute are “the implementation of the principles of pluralist parliamentary democracy, respect for human rights and the rule of law.”

The 1998 Annual Report of the International Helsinki Federation for Human Rights quoting a 1997 report of lawyers, sent by the Council of Europe, reveals that the internal legislation or practice did not meet these standards.
In the course of 1998 several measures were taken to implement human rights in the national framework. In February 1998, the Parliament, following a proposal from the President, abolished the death penalty. The President also issued a decree on “measures to ensure human rights and the rights and freedoms of citizens”, which contained proposals to parliament on promoting and protecting human rights. In June 1998, a “State programme for the defence of human rights” was issued, in which the intention of ratifying the first and second optional Protocols to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights was announced. Mr. Aliyev also officially abolished censorship in August 1998. Even after all these measures were taken, a January 1999 letter, from Human Rights Watch to the Council of Europe’s Special Rapporteur, emphasised that reforms where urgently needed before Azerbaijan’s admission in the Council of Europe.

In September 1998, the Parliament of Azerbaijan adopted a new Law on Citizenship. This law was prepared in close collaboration with the Council of Europe and UNHCR. The Council and UNHCR organised series of training on citizenship issues and, as the law was adopted, a seminar on implementation was also organised. The Law provides, inter alia, for automatic Azerbaijani citizenship to any person who was living lawfully on the territory of the Republic before 1992. This provision affects directly all refugees from Armenia as well as other groups such as Meshketi Turks who arrived in the country from Central Asia in 1988 and 1989. The law excludes de facto refugees who left for Armenia before 1992.

Azerbaijan has adopted a new Law on Refugees and IDPs in May 1999. This Law was prepared in close collaboration with UNHCR. The mechanism of determination of refugee status was not still worked out. UNHCR continues to carry out the eligibility interviews with asylum seekers originating outside of CIS countries.

3.3 General Respect for Human Rights

According to the Constitution every citizen can “enjoy inviolable, undeniable, and inalienable rights and freedoms.” Under Article 26, “the State will ensure the protection of human rights and freedoms.”

In July 1999, the International Helsinki Federation for Human Rights summarised the current situation of human rights in Azerbaijan saying that “despite positive developments in 1998,
such as the abolition of censorship, Azerbaijan’s human rights record fell short of a great number of requirements under the ICCPR [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights], ECHR [European Convention for Human Rights] and other international instruments. Numerous individuals were illegally arrested and detained, and the right to association was violated. Opposition parties were not registered, their supporters were put under pressure and insulted, both verbally and physically, and their complaints to courts and the Public Prosecutor’s Office remained unanswered.”79

Human Rights Watch, in an August 1999 report, relates that “a plethora of victims, their relations and attorneys points to a systematised pattern of physical abuse and torture of those detained on suspicion of politically motivated crimes, such as the participation in attempts to overthrow the government, and common crimes.”80 According to this study, political activists, journalists and people who demonstrated publicly against the government were arrested and many of these cases went to trial in 1996 and 1997. It is also said that “the trials of some of these individuals brought to light widespread allegations that the police and other security forces systematically tortured detainees to extract confessions and false testimony.”81

The 1998 Country Report on Azerbaijan of the U.S. Department of State reveals that members of the police have committed “numerous human rights abuses.”82 It also describes the judiciary as “corrupt, inefficient and subject to executive influence.”83

**Freedom of Speech**

There is in Azerbaijan a large network of governmental/independent mass media organisations in Azerbaijan. As of February 1998, more than 500 mass media organisations were registered at the Ministry of Information and Press of Azerbaijan, including some 260 newspapers, 34 information agencies and 34 TV and radio companies.84

The government of Azerbaijan lifted press censorship in 1998 but continues to exert influence over the media.85 Authorities are imputed of having intimidated and threatened journalists, banned the printing of some newspapers, and restricted access to information.86 The Government continues to deny broadcast licences to several organisations applying to open independent TV or radio stations. On the other hand, the Government tightly controls the official (national) TV and radio, which are the source of information for much population.87
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The largest independent publications are Ayna-Zercalo, Avrasya and Rezonans newspapers. Official (governmental) newspapers are Azerbaija, Khalg, Yeni Azerbaijan or Bakinsky Rabochny. The largest and most frequent opposition newspapers are Azadlig, Yeni Musavat, Millet and Hurriyet. In November 1998, editors of independent newspapers started a hunger strike to protest against charges brought against several newspapers, including by Yeni Musavat, close to the opposition Musavat party, for having insulted the honour and dignity of the President.

Journalists were beaten by police or other governmental officials at different times. On September 12 1998, the police attacked 34 journalists as they were reporting on an opposition rally in Baku and also attacked 4 journalists as they were protesting peacefully the defamation trial against Yeni Musavat opposition party in November the same year.

**Freedom of Association**

Article 54 of the Constitution gives every citizen the “right to participate without hindrance in the political life of the society and of the state.” However, the Minister of Justice refused to register several political parties in the past years. Even when the Supreme Court ordered the Minister to register the Democratic Party of Azerbaijan (ADP), nothing happened. The U.S. Department of State reports that “the Government continued to harass parties critical of the Government by arbitrarily arresting party members, including close associates of opposition party leaders.” A BBC correspondent related that “since the [presidential] election, the authorities have increased the pressure on the opposition.” The 1998-99 EIU Country Profile on Azerbaijan states that Mr. Aliyev’s “regime has been tarnished by cronyism and corruption, as it excludes other political and social groupings it is in danger of sowing the seeds of instability.”

**Freedom of Religion**

The Constitution, under Article 48, allows persons to practice their religion with no restrictions and, under Article 18, there is no State religion. Religious practices of Shi’a and Sunni Muslims, Russian Orthodox Christians and Jews are tolerated. A law on foreigners and stateless persons prohibits religious “propaganda” by foreigners.

According to the 1998 Country Report on Azerbaijan of the U.S. Department of State, there is some evidence of prejudice against Azeris who have converted to Christianity and against non-
Orthodox Christian groups.\textsuperscript{97} Because of strong anti-Armenian feelings and the departure of the Armenian community, Armenian churches remain closed.\textsuperscript{98}

This report also relates that in July 1998 the police detained some 40 people belonging to the World of Life, a non-Orthodox religious group, after they held a religious meeting in a private apartment. Nine Azeris and three foreign nationals were found guilty of holding an illegal religious gathering and were subsequently fined. One of the foreigners was expelled from the country.\textsuperscript{99}

In September 1999, representatives of the Jehovah Witnesses Community, who have been denied official registration by the authorities, appealed to the Human Rights Centre in Azerbaijan stating they were discharged from their positions because of their belief.\textsuperscript{100}

3.4 Groups at Risk

The 1998 Country Report on Azerbaijan of the U.S. Department of State relates that because of the complete departure of the Armenian population, the number of problems reported, between Azeris and Armenians in Azerbaijan, has decreased.\textsuperscript{101} It also reveals that Russians experience societal discrimination. Indigenous minorities, such as the Talysh and Lezgins, and Jews, according to this report, do not suffer discrimination, but Kurdish displaced persons and Meshkhetian Turks complain of discrimination.\textsuperscript{102} At the beginning of 1999, a national census took place in Azerbaijan, but the results have not yet been released. Thus, updated official population statistics cannot be provided.\textsuperscript{103}

Russians

In 1989, Russians in Azerbaijan represented six per cent of the population. The 1997 World Directory of Minorities asserts that it is not known how many have emigrated because of political instability and economic conditions.\textsuperscript{104} It also reports that Russians “are not widely discriminated against in Azerbaijan.”\textsuperscript{105} However, the Council for Soviet Jews relates a Muslim revival and a rise of nationalism have exacerbated anti-Armenian and anti-Russian sentiments.\textsuperscript{106}

\textsuperscript{97} US Department of State- 1997 Country Report, 5 , [internet]
\textsuperscript{98} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{99} US Department of State, 1998 Country Report, 8, [internet]
\textsuperscript{100} Human Rights Centre of Azerbaijan, Press release, 7 September 1999
\textsuperscript{101} US Department of State, Country Report 1998, 13, [internet]
\textsuperscript{102} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{103} United Nation International Committee on the elimination of racial discrimination, fifty-fifth session, summary record of the 1359th meeting, second periodic report of Azerbaijan, 19 August 1999, (CERD/C/SR.1359 26 August 1999)
\textsuperscript{104} The 1997 World Directory of Minorities, 270
\textsuperscript{105} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{106} Union Council of Soviet Jews (UCSJ), Azerbaijan, 1 January 1998, 2, [internet]
Armenians

An estimated 330,000 Armenians left Azerbaijan for Armenia\textsuperscript{107} and (figures needed) left for the Nagorno-Karabakh oblast. Almost the entire Armenian Community now lives in Nagorno-Karabakh. The remainder of the Armenian population, out of Karabakh, is between 10,000 and 20,000.\textsuperscript{108} Most of these people are of mixed descent or in mixed marriages.\textsuperscript{109}

Armenians are reported to have complained of discrimination in employment and harassment at schools and workplaces.\textsuperscript{110} The 1999 Amnesty International Annual Report reveals that ethnic Armenian civilians were held as hostages only on the ground of their ethnic origin and that ethnic Armenians were being held without any evidence of criminal charges or of criminal activity.\textsuperscript{111}

Jews

The Union of Councils for Soviet Jews (UCSJ) reports that there are 30'000 Jews living in Azerbaijan, of which three-quarters live in Baku.\textsuperscript{112} Freedom of emigration is guaranteed and many Jews have already left Azerbaijan for the US or Israel.\textsuperscript{113} The remainder have become assimilated into the surrounding Christian and Muslim populations.\textsuperscript{114} Although there is no flagrant discrimination, some anti-Semitism, such as attacks on individuals or communal property, has been sporadically reported.\textsuperscript{115}

Lezgins

Fifty per cent of these indigenous people are located in neighbouring Dagestan (north Caucasus) and 41\% are in Azerbaijan. The World Directory of Minorities states that “Lezgins enjoyed better rights in the Autonomous Republic of Dagestan under the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation than in Azerbaijan itself, where they were subjected to assimilation policies.”\textsuperscript{116} It adds that following the resettlement of some 102,000 refugees from the Karabakh conflict, in 1992, tensions between the Lezgins Community and the Azerbaijani authorities have increased.

Talysh
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The 1996 US Department of State Country Report stated that Talysh do not generally suffer from discrimination. The World Directory of Minorities, using unofficial estimates, count between 200,000 and 300,000 Talysh. Ethnic Groups Worldwide, using 1989 Soviet Census figures reports of 22,000 only. No major event seems to have occurred since Ali Akram Hummatov declared in June 1993 a separate republic of Talysh-Mugansk and then was arrested in December the same year.

Kurds

The official number of Kurds in Azerbaijan in 1989 was 12,000, but unofficial estimates suggest up to 200,000. The majority of them were situated in the Lachin area between Armenia and Nagorno-Karabakh. In 1993, a part of this population was forced to flee because of the Karabakh military offensive. The representative of Azerbaijan to the U.N. Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination declared that the Kurds, after being expelled from their homes, “were living in tents and camps elsewhere in the country.”

Meskhetian Turks

The global number of Meskhetian Turks in Azerbaijan is evaluated at 100,000 persons. Meskhetian Turks entered Azerbaijan in different periods. An important group about 40,000 left the Central Asia Republics during the fifties after the death of Stalin. This group is integrated and during the Soviet time, received permanent residence permits. After the independence of Azerbaijan, they became Azerbaijani citizens. 46,000 Meskhetian Turks left Uzbekistan in 1988-1992, after the clashes in Farghana valley in order to return to their pale of origin in Georgia but were stranded in Azerbaijan. This group was granted refugees status on a prima facie basis by the Government of Azerbaijan. Reportedly, a significant percentage of Meskhetian Turk refugees do not have valid document required for receiving Azerbaijani citizenship.

4. Azerbaijani Refugees and Asylum Seekers – Global Trends

Asylum applications, 1992-1999

During the period 1992-1998, asylum-seekers from Azerbaijan lodged some 8,040 asylum claims in the European countries listed in Table 1. Germany received 65 per cent of these applications, followed by the Netherlands (25 per cent). Since 1996, the number of asylum-seekers from Azerbaijan has increased rapidly. During January-September 1999, the average
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monthly number of asylum applications reached 506, 93 per cent higher than the average number of asylum applications lodged during 1998. In July, August and September 1999, the number of asylum-seekers from Azerbaijan exceeded 650 per month (see Table 3). Based on the most recent trends, it can thus be expected that the total number of Azerbaijani asylum applications lodged in Europe during 1999 will reach more than 6,000 (1998: 3,150 applications).

During the first nine months of 1999, 47 per cent of all Azerbaijani asylum claims were lodged in Germany and some 40 per cent in the Netherlands (see Table 3).

**Convention refugee status and Convention recognition rates**

During 1992-1998, some 860 Azerbaijani asylum-seekers were granted Convention refugee status. Of these, 71 per cent (610) were granted refugee status in Germany and 19 per cent (165) in the Netherlands. During 1992-1998, some 11 per cent of all Azerbaijani asylum-seekers were recognized as refugees under the 1951 Convention relating to the Status of Refugees (1998: 11.4 per cent).

**Humanitarian status and total recognition rates**
Some 330 Azerbaijani asylum-seekers were granted humanitarian status during 1992-1998 in Europe, 68 per cent (225) of who were allowed to remain in the Netherlands. The total recognition rate, that is, including both Convention and humanitarian status recognition, of Azerbaijani asylum-seekers in Europe amounted to some 15 per cent. In Germany, the total recognition rate reached 13 per cent during 1992-1998, whereas in the Netherlands it was 19 per cent. The total recognition rate for Azerbaijani asylum-seekers reached a peak in 1997 (20 per cent).

**Geographical distribution during 1998**

During 1998, Azerbaijani citizens lodged some 3,490 asylum applications in 27 countries world-wide. In total, some 5,240 refugee status determination decisions were taken, 485 (9 per cent) of which resulted in refugee status, whereas another 111 Azerbaijani asylum-seekers were granted humanitarian status. In total, 11 per cent of all decisions taken during 1998 were positive (see Table 4.).
Table 1. Asylum applications submitted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>1,245</td>
<td>1,566</td>
<td>5,240</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>1,268</td>
<td>2,034</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>1,191</td>
<td>1,719</td>
<td>3,153</td>
<td>8,039</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- of which:EU</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>1,156</td>
<td>1,675</td>
<td>3,109</td>
<td>7,884</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Asylum-seekers granted Convention and hum. status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>55.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>1,191</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- of which:EU</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>1,172</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Asylum applications and refugee status determination, 1998

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of asylum</th>
<th>Pending cases begin year</th>
<th>Applied during year</th>
<th>Decisions during year</th>
<th>Pending cases end of year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>1,566</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>1,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liechtenstein</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,268</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>2,737</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,308</td>
<td>3,485</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>5,242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Asylum applications submitted, 1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>2,160</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>1,801</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>4,553</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. **UNHCR Operations 1998-1999**

UNHCR was among the first international organizations to arrive in Azerbaijan in December 1992. To respond to the emergency situation, UNHCR came forward with a substantial amount of assistance, followed by other United Nations agencies and international non-governmental organisations. Since 1996, UNHCR's sectoral assistance priorities have gradually shifted from relief assistance to activities aimed at reaching durable achievements in the areas of shelter, health, education and income generation. The same year, in parallel with the assistance to IDPs, UNHCR began facilitating the return of IDPs to accessible areas of origin in partially occupied front-line districts with a limited shelter rehabilitation programme.

During the period under review, the operations of UNHCR in Azerbaijan target the following groups:

**Ethnic-Azeri Refugees and IDPs, and Meskhetian Refugees from Central Asia**

The objectives are to provide integrated multi-sectoral assistance to the most vulnerable group of 150,000 of a total population of approximately one million IDPs and refugees (government statistics) in an effort to increase their self-reliance and interim local settlement. The selected vulnerable groups benefit from income generating, health, education, as well as shelter rehabilitation activities. UNHCR implements activities for selected vulnerable groups, including shelter, education (mainly vocational/skills training programmes), health, income-generation, crop production and animal husbandry.

Activities to increase self-reliance among IDPs and Meskhetians through a community mobilization approach continue to constitute the backbone of UNHCR’s assistance programme in Azerbaijan. These activities include market greenhouse cooperatives, business classes and selection of the most viable business proposals for income-generating schemes, vocational skills training and establishment of enterprises. IDP/refugee families with past experience in animal husbandry are provided with opportunities to undertake small sheep-rearing activities. In a public building rehabilitation programme, skilled IDPs are employed as masons, carpenters, painters, etc., and were also involved in the organization and planning of these activities.

IDP/Refugee Reproductive Health has been incorporated into the UNFPA long-term strategy through a project, which ensures sustainable access to reproductive health services, by the beneficiary population. To this end, UNHCR and UNFPA have agreed to joint planning and costs sharing into the year 2000. Cooperation between UNHCR and UNICEF has also led to the development of an integrated policy and action plan of vaccinations for IDP children. In February 1999, UNHCR signed an Aide Memoire with the Government and the World Bank, formulating a joint process to improve IDPs’ access to jobs and income-generating activities.
IDPs Returning to the War-Damaged Areas in Azerbaijan

UNHCR assists 2,000 families who have spontaneously returned to the war-damaged areas of Terter, Agdam and Fizuli districts, and encourages further return, as part of a shelter rehabilitation programme jointly coordinated with the World Bank and United Nations Development Program (UNDP). This project was formalized in September 1998. Building material was re-positioned and reconstruction started as soon as the winter was over. Three implementing partners have been engaged for the project, each in one of the project regions. In the Fizuli area, of the target of 1,000 houses, 521 have been completed, mainly through contract labour. In the Terter area, of the targeted 910 households, 422 have received building materials and 180 of these have been completed, mainly through self-help. In the Agdama area, 251 households were surveyed, 75 contracts have been signed and work has begun at 45 locations. The first phase of the project is to be completed before the end of the year, and the second phase will depend on new contributions. A recent joint World Bank/UNHCR evaluation confirmed that besides housing, access to income-earning activities, education and health facilities are equally important in IDPs’ decision to return. In this context, UNHCR further strengthened its coordination with the responsible government agency to ensure that these sectors are equally implemented.

Asylum-Seekers/Refugees from Outside the CIS

UNHCR continues to interview and assist refugees and asylum seekers originating from Afghanistan, Islamic Republic of Iran, Iraq, Syria, Algeria, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya and Sudan. Over 400 families were provided with financial and medical assistance, with priority given to the most vulnerable groups (single heads of households, disabled, orphans). Following the adoption by Parliament of the new Law on Citizenship, UNHCR with the Council of Europe organized a workshop on the implementation of this law, with the participation of officials from the Presidential Office and the Ministries of Internal Affairs, of National Security and of Foreign Affairs. In cooperation with the OSCE and the Council of Europe, UNHCR also provides guidance and expertise to the Government in the drafting of NGO legislation.
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